

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/774,148	02/06/2004	Craig Dohner	70115.010200	8332	
7	590 08/08/2005		EXAM	INER	
Douglas B. Teaney Suite 2500			WILLIAMS, JAMILA O		
77 West Wacker Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 6	50601		3722		

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
·		10/774,148	DOHNER, CRAIG		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jamila O. Williams	3722		
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPIALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. veriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on app	lication filed 2-6-2004.			
· <u> </u>		is action is non-final.			
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under				
Dispositio	n of Claims				
4)\(\overline{\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\text{\texi}}\\ \text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\te}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\texit{\tet{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\texit{\text{\texi}\texit{\texi}\text{\texit{\texi}\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\ti	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application	n.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
· —	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8)□ (	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.			
Applicatio	n Papers				
9)□ Т	he specification is objected to by the Examin	er			
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		he Examiner		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· •		
	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• •		
Priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
•	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n nacrity under 25 LLC C S 444	0(=) (4) == (6		
a)□		ii priority under 33 U.S.C. § 119	2(a)-(u) 01 (1).		
•	. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received			
	Certified copies of the priority document		cation No		
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Ū	application from the International Burea		Avou in this National Stage		
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a lis	. , ,	aived .		
- <del>-</del>		The second copies not rece			
Amak 4					
Attachment(s 1) ⊠ Notice (	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀 Interview C	POTE (PTO 442)		
2) 🔲 Notice (	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date		
3) 🔀 Informa	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	) 5) Notice of Inform	al Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Paper N	No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-27-04</u> .	6)  Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/774,148

Art Unit: 3722

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 4,126,168 to Ganz et al in view of 3,267,980 to Bird. Ganz discloses a holder body having a cover, rear portion and foldable spine (see figures), at least one outside pocket (17) on the outside of the rear portion (12), at least one inside pocket (47) on an inside surface of the cover (14) or pocket (35) on the inside of the rear portion (12); a transparent window defining a part of a wall of the inside pocket (transparent plastic window 36 forms a part of pocket 35); further comprising at least one second inside pocket (44) on the inside surface of the cover; wherein the second pocket is gusseted (fig 2); wherein the holder is fabricated of leather material (col. 2 line 35). Ganz does not however disclose having a data register retained in the inside pocket, as recited in claim 1. Bird teaches having a data register in the inside pocket of a holder (fig 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the data register as taught by Bird with the inside pocket of Ganz for the purpose of allowing the user to keep records of transactions.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,148

Art Unit: 3722

3. Both Ganz and Bird also disclose the use of cards in the holders (col. 1 In. 15 of Ganz and col. 1 In. 20) but neither clearly disclose a gift card as recited in claim 10, however gift cards are well known types of payment cards and it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a gift card in the holder of Ganz (with the register of Bird) for the purpose of providing storage and increasing the payment options of the user.

Page 3

Regarding the limitations of claims 7-9, wherein the data register comprises several pages with specific indicia thereon. The data register of Bird does include the several pages as claimed but not the specific arrangement or content of the indicia (printed matter) set forth in the claims. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to alter the indicia as recited in the claims since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. In re Gulack, 217 USPQ 401, (CAFC 1983). The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an individual with a specific type of instruction/information does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the substrate (register sheets) for the printed matter (indicia) is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability.

Art Unit: 3722

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This is simply art of interest and was not used to reject any claims in this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamila O. Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-4431. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jw

BOYER D. ASHLEY